

SEA1 is 43 (Go to SEA5A)

SEA1 is 44 (Go to SEA6)

SEA1 is 45-46 (Exit)

SEA2 Did (name/you) ever get a High School diploma by completing High School OR through a GED or other equivalent?

<1> Yes, completed High School

<2> Yes, GED or other equivalent

<3> No

Exit

SEA3A (People can get a High School diploma in a variety of ways, such as graduating from a High School or by getting a GED or other equivalent.) How did (name/you) get (his/her/your) High School diploma?

<1> Graduation from High School

<2> GED or other equivalent

(Exit)

(Ask SEA3B)

SEA3B What was the highest grade of regular school (name/you) completed before receiving (his/her/your) GED?

<1> Less than 1st grade

<2> 1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade

<3> 5th or 6th grade

<4> 7th or 8th grade

<5> 9th grade

<6> 10th grade

<7> 11th grade

<8> 12th grade NO DIPLOMA

Exit

SEA4 (Including any time that may have been spent getting an Associate's Degree, how/How) many years of college CREDIT (has/have)(name/you) completed? (Has/Have) (he/she/you) COMPLETED

<1> Less than 1 year?

<2> The first, or FRESHMAN year?

<3> The second, or SOPHOMORE year?

<4> The third, or JUNIOR year?

<5> Other (Ask SEA4S)

Exit

SEA4S How many years is that?

====> \_\_\_\_\_

Exit

SEA5A Since completing (his/her/your) Bachelor's degree, (has/have) (name/you) ever taken any GRADUATE or PROFESSIONAL school courses for credit?

<1> Yes (Ask SEA5B)

<2> No (Exit)

SEA5B Did (name/you) complete SIX or MORE graduate or professional school courses?

<1> Yes

<2> No

Exit

SEA6 Was (name's/you) Master's Degree program a 1-year, 2-year, or 3-year program?

<1> 1-year program

<2> 2-year program

<3> 3-year program

<4> Other (Ask SEA6S)

Exit

SEA6S What is that?

====> \_\_\_\_\_

Exit

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

The Bureau of the Census has conducted the CPS monthly for over 50 years. Its primary purpose is to provide monthly statistics on the labor force status of the American population, including the official unemployment rate. It is authorized by Title 13, United States Code, Section 182; and Title 29, United States Code, Sections 1-9. The Bureau of the Census and the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) sponsor this survey.

Several years ago (1992), the CPS changed its methodology for collecting educational attainment from a "years attended basis" to a "degree received basis." While this change was embraced by most analysts as being a more accurate measure of this characteristic, it did disrupt a number of time series statistics using the "years attended" measure. Given this background, BLS and Census Bureau staff researched and tested a number of revised questionnaires in an attempt to devise a series of questions that would collect both measures of educational attainment, while maintaining consistency with the current "degree received measure." Note that question SEA1 above is the current "degree received" question and will retain its function as the primary measure of educational attainment.

##### II. Current Actions

In July 1995, we collected data using the revised series of questions as a supplement in one-fourth of the CPS sample. The purpose of this test was to gauge how the new question series performed and, if necessary, to provide a set of bridge statistics on educational attainment that would allow a smooth transition to the new question series. Currently, staff at both the BLS and the Census Bureau are analyzing the results of this test. If the results are favorable, we plan to incorporate the new question series into the January 1996 CPS instrument. This submission to OMB is being made in anticipation of favorable test results and may be withdrawn if that does not occur.

##### III. Request for Comments

After reviewing the information collection instrument (see above), prospective respondents and other interested parties should comment on

the actions discussed in Item II. The following general guidelines are provided to assist in the preparation of responses. Please include the name of this information collection, the CPS educational attainment revision, in your comments.

As a potential data user:

A. Did you use educational attainment data from the CPS prior to 1992?

B. If so, did the switch to "highest degree received" cause any problems with your time series or other analyses?

C. The suggested item series is intended to continue the current "highest degree received" concept while reinstituting the "last year completed" concept (used prior to 1992 in the CPS). Do you think this objective is served by these items?

D. Can you use data at the levels of detail indicated?

E. For what purpose do you or would you use these data? Please be specific.

F. As a user of these data, can you suggest modifications to the proposed items that if incorporated, would better serve your analytical needs? If so, please submit your suggested changes with a short statement describing the perceived benefits.

As a potential respondent:

A. We estimate that it will take the average respondent about 30 seconds to answer the appropriate questions. Is this a reasonable burden?

B. Are the questions sensitive in nature? If so, please be specific in describing why.

C. Are the questions understandable and framed in a sensible manner? If not, what items are confusing and how should we clarify them?

D. In your opinion, could a proxy respondent (i.e., one household member providing information for another household member) provide complete and accurate answers? If not, why?

E. Do you have any suggestions on ways of reducing the burden associated with responding to this information collection?

The Census Bureau also is interested in receiving comments from persons regarding their views on the need for this information collection.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: September 26, 1995.

Gerald Taché,

*Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.*

[FR Doc. 95-24291 Filed 9-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-M

**Foreign-Trade Zones Board****[Order No. 769]****Revision of Grant of Authority; Subzone 9E, Chevron U.S.A. Products Company, (Oil Refinery), Ewa, Oahu, Hawaii**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) authorized subzone status at the oil refinery of Chevron U.S.A. Products Company, in Ewa, Oahu, Hawaii, in 1988 (Subzone 9E, Board Order 415, 53 FR 53040, 12/30/88);

Whereas, the Hawaii Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism, on behalf of the State of Hawaii, grantee of FTZ 9, has requested pursuant to § 400.32(b)(1)(i), a revision (filed 7/14/95, A(32b1)-12-95; FTZ Doc. 46-95, assigned 8/30/95) of the grant of authority for FTZ Subzone 9E which would make its scope of authority identical to that recently granted for FTZ Subzone 199A at the refinery complex of Amoco Oil Company, Texas City, Texas (Board Order 731, 60 FR 13118, 3/10/95); and,

Whereas, the request has been reviewed and the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, acting for the Board pursuant to § 400.32(b)(1), concurs in the recommendation of the Executive Secretary, and approves the request;

Now Therefore, the Board hereby orders that, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28, Board Order 415 is revised to replace the two conditions currently listed in the Order with the following conditions:

1. Foreign status (19 CFR §§ 146.41, 146.42) products consumed as fuel for the refinery shall be subject to the applicable duty rate.
2. Privileged foreign status (19 CFR § 146.41) shall be elected on all foreign merchandise admitted to the subzone, except that non-privileged foreign (NPF) status (19 CFR § 146.42) may be elected on refinery inputs covered under HTSUS Subheadings # 2709.00.1000-# 2710.00.1050 and # 2710.00.2500 which are used in the production of:
  - petrochemical feedstocks and refinery by-products (FTZ staff report, Appendix B);
  - products for export; and,
  - products eligible for entry under HTSUS # 9808.00.30 and 9808.00.40 (U.S. Government purchases).
3. The authority with regard to the NPF option is initially granted until

September 30, 2000, subject to extension.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of September 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-24292 Filed 9-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**[Order No. 770]****Revision of Grant of Authority; Subzone 84F; Phibro Refining Inc., (Oil Refinery), Houston, Texas**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) authorized subzone status at the oil refinery of Phibro Refining Inc., in Houston, Texas, in 1991 (Subzone 84F, Board Order 552, 56 FR 67058, 12/27/91);

Whereas, the Port of Houston Authority, grantee of FTZ 84F, has requested pursuant to § 400.32(b)(1)(i), a revision (filed 7/18/95, A(32b1)-13-95; FTZ Doc. 47-95, assigned 8/30/95) of the grant of authority for FTZ Subzone 84F which would make its scope of authority identical to that recently granted for FTZ Subzone 199A at the refinery complex of Amoco Oil Company, Texas City, Texas (Board Order 731, 60 FR 13118, 3/10/95); and,

Whereas, the request has been reviewed and the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, acting for the Board pursuant to § 400.32(b)(1), concurs in the recommendation of the Executive Secretary, and approves the request;

Now therefore, the Board hereby orders that, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28, Board Order 552 is revised to replace the two conditions currently listed in the Order with the following conditions:

1. Foreign status (19 CFR §§ 146.41, 146.42) products consumed as fuel for the refinery shall be subject to the applicable duty rate.
2. Privileged foreign status (19 CFR § 146.41) shall be elected on all foreign merchandise admitted to the subzone, except that non-privileged foreign (NPF) status (19 CFR § 146.42) may be elected on refinery inputs covered under HTSUS Subheadings # 2709.00.1000-# 2710.00.1050 and # 2710.00.2500 which are used in the production of:

- petrochemical feedstocks and refinery by-products (FTZ staff report, Appendix B);
- products for export; and,
- products eligible for entry under HTSUS # 9808.00.30 and 9808.00.40 (U.S. Government purchases).

3. The authority with regard to the NPF option is initially granted until September 30, 2000, subject to extension.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of September 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-24293 Filed 9-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**[Order No. 768]****Revision of Grant of Authority; Subzone 122L—Site 5, Koch Refining Company, L.P., (Oil Refinery), Corpus Christi, Texas**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) authorized subzone status at the oil refinery of Koch Refining Company, L.P., in Corpus Christi, Texas, in 1985 (Subzone 122L—Site 5 (formerly Subzone 122B owned by Southwestern Refining), Board Order 310, 50 FR 38020, 9/19/85);

Whereas, the Port of Corpus Christi Authority, grantee of FTZ 122, has requested pursuant to § 400.32(b)(1)(i), a revision (filed 7/13/95, A(32b1)-11-95; FTZ Doc. 45-95, assigned 8/30/95) of the grant of authority for FTZ Subzone 122L—Site 5 which would make its scope of authority identical to that recently granted for FTZ Subzone 199A at the refinery complex of Amoco Oil Company, Texas City, Texas (Board Order 731, 60 FR 13118, 3/10/95); and,

Whereas, the request has been reviewed and the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, acting for the Board pursuant to § 400.32(b)(1), concurs in the recommendation of the Executive Secretary, and approves the request;

Now therefore, the Board hereby orders that, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28, Board Order 310 is revised to include the following conditions:

1. Foreign status (19 CFR §§ 146.41, 146.42) products consumed as fuel for